

First Named Inventor: Jason P. Chalecki      Attorney Docket No.: 305499.01  
Application No.: 10/723,863      Group Art Unit: 2176  
Filed: November 26,      Confirmation Number: 9696  
                          2003  
Customer No.: 22971      Examiner: Quoc A. Tran  
Title: Rendering an HTML Electronic Form By Applying XSLT To  
                          XML Using a Solution

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1460  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance**

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,  
Microsoft Corporation

Date: September 30, 2008 \_\_\_\_\_ By: /Sung T. Kim/ \_\_\_\_\_

Sung T. Kim, Reg. No.: 45,398  
Direct telephone 703-647-6574  
Microsoft Corporation  
One Microsoft Way  
Redmond WA 98052-6399

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**  
**(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

September 30, 2008 \_\_\_\_\_ /Noemi Tovar/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Noemi Tovar